AO 472 (Rev. 09/08) Case 1:13 de 100195 PLW ECF No. 33, PageID.63 Filed 11/06/13 Page 1 of 1

United States District Court for the Western District of Michigan

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	V. Joshua Matthew Millen Defendant	Case No. 1:13-cr-00195-PLM
	After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act	t, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
ınaı ine d	efendant be detained pending trial.	
(4)	Part I – Findings	
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U. a federal offense a state or local offense that wou existed – that is	ld have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4 which the prison term is 10 years or more.	1), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is death of	r life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten year	ars or more is prescribed in:
		*
	U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local	cted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 l offenses.
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves:	
	a minor victim the possession or use of a firearm or destr a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 225	ructive device or any other dangerous weapon
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the or local offense.	defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the dat offense described in finding (1).	e of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption to person or the community. I further find that defendant has no	
	Alternative Findi	ngs (A)
√ (1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has co	mmitted an offense
	✓ for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.)	is prescribed in: .*
	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
√ (2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the s	
	Alternative Findi	ngs (B)
, ,	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.	
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the s	
	Part II – Statement of the Rea	
	find that the testimony and information submitted at the deten a preponderance of the evidence that:	tion hearing establishes by clear and convincing
	ndant waived his detention hearing, electing not to contest det ndant has been in state custody and would not be released in	
	ndant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to the co	

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	November 6, 2013	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
_		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	